

**MINUTES
INGLEWOOD PLANNING COMMISSION
MEETING
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2013**

(1) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

The City of Inglewood Planning Commission held its meeting on Wednesday, August 7, 2013, in the City Council Chambers, on the ninth floor, in City Hall. Following the Pledge of Allegiance, led by Planning Commissioner David Rice, the meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

(2) ROLL CALL:

The roll was called:

Present: Chairman Larry Springs
Commissioner Darius Leevy
Commissioner David Rice
Commissioner Aide Trejo
Commissioner Terry Coleman

Staff: Linda F. Tatum, AICP, Planning Manager
Christopher E. Jackson, Sr., Senior Planner
Jeff Lewis, Assistant City Attorney
Sabrina Barnes, Director, Parks, Recreation & Library Services
Mawusi Watson, Executive Assistant to the City Manager
Eddy Ikemefuna, Planner II
Arturo Salazar, Assistant Planner
Stephanie Latkin, Temporary Acting Secretary

(3) ELECTION OF PLANNING COMMISSIONER VICE-CHAIRPERSON:

Chairman Larry Springs asked for a motion from the Planning Commissioners to nominate a Vice-Chair for the Planning Commission. Commissioner Trejo nominated Commissioner Terry Coleman based on the amount of experience, knowledge of planning and land use practices and long time service to the City and was seconded by Commissioner Leevy.

The motion was carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Leevy, Rice, Trejo, Coleman and Chairman Springs

Noes: None

(4) APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Chairman Springs asked for a motion to approve the minutes of July 3, 2013. Commissioner Coleman made a motion that was seconded by Chairman Springs to approve the Special Planning Commission meeting minutes of July 3, 2013 with no corrections.

The motion was carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Leevy, Rice, Coleman and Chairman Springs

Abstained: Commissioner Trejo

Noes: None

(5) STAFF COMMUNICATIONS:

Ms. Tatum stated that there was one communication regarding item 7A on the Agenda and during the staff presentation, Senior Planner Christopher Jackson will discuss that.

(6) PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were none.

(7a) SPECIAL USE PERMIT NO. 1185 (SP-1185) AT 3900 W. MANCHESTER BOULEVARD:

A public hearing to consider an application by Adly Abdelmalak, for Special Use Permit No. 1185 (SP-1185) to allow the sale of beer and wine for off-site consumption at a service station convenience market on a C-2 (General Commercial) zoned property located at 1430 North La Brea Avenue.

Mr. Christopher Jackson, Sr., Senior Planner, made the staff presentation.

Commissioner Leevy asked what are the hours of operation of the convenience store at that location and will this be a franchise market?

Mr. Jackson stated that the convenience store will be open on a 24 hour basis however the sale of alcoholic beverages will be limited by the ruling of ABC and it will be a 76 Unocal franchise store.

Commissioner Coleman asked if it would be possible for a license to be transferred from the vacant lot owner transferred to the new owner in order to keep those types of licenses down and second stated that he did not remember the number of security cameras that were approved in May 2012 and if that information is available due to the fact that with the transference of money or robberies four or even six security cameras might not be enough.

Mr. Jackson stated that for the first request regarding the transferring of the license it is definitely possible to do and regarding the installation of security cameras believes that there are six on the drawing submitted by the applicant.

Commissioner Rice asked how many non-service related parking spaces on the property at the station that has nothing to do with the car wash or gasoline purchase use just for general parking?

Mr. Jackson stated that he believes that with the reconfiguration of the site there are now twenty spaces.

Commissioner Rice asked how many 24 hour alcoholic beverage, beer and wine locations are within the proximity of the Forum?

Mr. Jackson displayed several locations within the City stating that this particular location would be the only 24 hour store throughout.

Commissioner Trejo asked for clarification although this will be a 24 hour operation and the request is for the sale of beer and

Inglewood Planning Commission Meeting Minutes
September 4, 2013

wine and would have to cut off at 2:00am, regardless if the store is open during for 24 hours or not.

Mr. Jackson stated that is correct. ABC regulates the operations regarding when beer and wine is allowed to be sold.

Ms. Tatum stated that she had two clarifications regarding the staff recommendations. First, the item does not need to be continued for staff to prepare a resolution if the Commission makes a determination. Staff will bring back a resolution consistent with their direction and findings.

The second clarification is that the Planning Commission would have to make the appropriate findings if you decide to approve the finding of a public convenience and necessity or likewise if you decide to deny the application because you cannot make the finding of convenience and necessity you also need to make the appropriate findings to deny the SUP based upon those findings of personal convenience and necessity.

Chairman Springs asked the applicant to come forward and address the Planning Commission.

Mr. Adley Abdelmalak, 930 National Boulevard, Los Angeles, 90034.

Chairman Springs asked if there were any questions from the Planning Commission for the applicant.

Commissioner Coleman asked the applicant if he would have a problem with having the previous gas station owners license transferred into his name in order to avoid having to deal with obtaining a conditional license which would delay the process.

Mr. Abdelmalak stated it would not be a problem and if there is one available he is willing.

Attorney Lewis asked to address the Commission stating that this particular public hearing can be handled in a three-step process. He stated that the first step is for the applicant to show the planning commission that there is a public convenience or necessity because the City of Inglewood has been determined by the City Council to be over-concentrated with alcoholic establishments, so the burden is actually upon the applicant to prove to the planning commission's satisfaction that there is a public convenience or necessity for this SUP or alcoholic privilege. He then stated that he wanted to share some of the factors that other planning commissions had considered when they had attempted to determine whether or not a public convenience or necessity exists, which is something that the Alcoholic Beverage Control puts out to follow and these are some of the things that the applicant has the burden to prove and if the applicant does not prove this, it would then be upon the planning commission who will have to put something on the record to show that there is some kind of public necessity or convenience.

Attorney Lewis began reading from the pamphlet stating that the applicant must prove or demonstrate that the economic benefit outweighs the negative impact on the community as a whole, the applicant must identify the special and unusual circumstances present here to justify a new retail alcohol outlet where there are already similar alcohol uses existing nearby and they must

show that they cannot operate profitably without a liquor license and stated that these are just some of the things that the Planning Commission can consider, and the applicant must demonstrate the reasonable efforts to seek community input and the applicant can demonstrate how the proposed use will not be detrimental to the character of development and immediate environment and will be in harmony with the overall objectives of the general plan and there are other considerations that the Planning Commission can factor but this is something that the applicant has the burden to show.

Attorney Lewis stated that after the planning commission makes their decision if they decide to go to the SUP they would then have to go to the factors in the staff report and as a typical SUP will have to consider the factors whether or not they will grant the SUP based on the evidence in the record.

Chairman Springs stated that the commission understands and since the applicant is here present they can speak the reasons why the planning commission should grant this SUP.

Mr. Abdelmalak stated his reasons as to why his present project should be granted the SUP.

Commissioner Leevy asked about Mr. Abdelmalaks statement earlier regarding him having other businesses throughout the City of Los Angeles, and why does he feel that if this particular project does not get a beer and wine license approval that it would be detrimental to this project making a profit since it will incorporate the mini market, the gas station along with the car wash.

Mr. Abdelmalak stated that with the current competition in the area and with the new rail system coming in, without a beer and wine license the project will not be profitable where with having the beer and wine license it will help to be a draw for the project and will help with the costs of running that project.

Commissioner Rice asked for clarification from the applicant regarding the fact that the beer and wine license for the project will be much more profitable, even more than convenience store portion items.

Mr. Abdelmalak stated that the beer and wine license alone will bring into the business almost one-third of the profits.

Commissioner Rice stated that he feels that with the applicants other places of business throughout and city of Los Angeles, that the project will necessitate the need for a beer and wine license.

Mr. Abdelmalak stated again that with the cost of the gasoline, the water for the car wash, the electricity to operate both the station and the market the only way that he will be able to break even and easily run the business will be with the proceeds from the sale of beer and wine and with that he will definitely need the license.

Chairman Springs asked a question of the applicant regarding the fact that earlier in the year when Mr. Abdelmalak came before the planning commission asking for permission to build his project there was never any mention of obtaining a beer and wine

license and that it seemed that the business would be profitable with everything that will be occurring there at the site. What changed?

Mr. Abdelmalak stated that his decision was to first deal with getting the building permits and allowances and once those were completed he would then come back before the planning commission seeking the beer and wine license because he felt that he would not have a problem obtaining one.

Chairman Springs then opened the public hearing for the public to speak for or against this project.

FOR:

None

AGAINST:

- Ms. Anna Rose, 317 East Elise Avenue, Inglewood, Resident.
- Mr. Brian Ko, 2829 Maricopa Street, Torrance CA, and Business Owner of Liquor Store across the street on La Brea - produced 100 Signature Petition.
- Ms. Lisa Palmon, 611 Condon Avenue, Inglewood, Resident.

Chairman Springs has closed the public hearing and asked for staff recommendations.

Chairman Springs stated that there is a liquor store immediately across the street from the proposed new project also with the City of Inglewood already oversaturated with liquor stores he feels that there is no need to approve this project.

Attorney Lewis asked Chairman Springs if he would reconsider his decision by asking the planning commission to request a continuance regarding this project and then direct staff to prepare two resolutions one for approval and one for denial and bring them back before the planning commission by next month due to the fact that this is a very rare situation and one that rarely comes before the planning commission. He stated that since there was no resolution attached to the staff report prior to this evenings meeting the planning commission was not made aware of all of the conditions that were included in the resolution. He also stated that if the planning commission reaches their decision tonight and if it is a denial there will be the possibility that the applicant will go to the city council for appeal and that the only member who will see the resolution will be Chairman Springs and he was wondering if the rest of the planning commission was alright with this.

Commissioner Trejo asked why should this item be continued to next month and have staff prepare and bring before the planning commission two resolutions when if the decision is to deny this SUP for the beer and wine license due to the oversaturation already within the city and if the motion is worded to reflect those findings along with Chairman Springs making sure that when he signs the resolution that it reflects exactly those words, it does not seem reasonable to continue this another month.

Chairman Springs stated that this too was his question.

Attorney Lewis responded that if the planning commission is in agreement with the action of denial then it is alright to proceed.

Ms. Tatum presented the staff recommendation.

Commissioner Coleman asked if the SUP approves he would like to suggest amending the conditions regarding the transfer of license.

Ms. Tatum stated that this SUP is strictly for the ABC license because the prior SUP approval has not been noticed for consideration and her understanding that the planning commission cannot take an action on a previously approved SUP that is not the subject of tonight's public hearing. She said tonight's motion would be strictly related to the planning commission's approval or denial of the request for the ABC license.

Chairman Springs asked if there was any further discussion and if not he would like a motion.

Commissioner Trejo stated that prior to the motion being made, she wanted to say the undue concentration of alcoholic sales in the City of Inglewood as a whole really is a determination based on this and other things she makes in the motion for denial.

MOTION:

Commission Trejo moved to deny the request for Special Use Permit No. 1185 (SP-1185) to allow the sale of beer and wine for off-site consumption at 1430 North La Brea Avenue and the required finding that the granting of this request will provide a public convenience or necessity cannot be made in as much as there are already four active off-sale licenses within the surrounding area and one directly across the street that provide for the off-sale of beer and wine to the community thus there is no necessity or convenience being provided via this request. The service of off-sale beer and wine is already being provided by other locations in close proximity to 1430 North La Brea Avenue. Additionally, the granting of such a request would be detrimental to the immediate neighborhood located in crime reporting district no. 1-02, in as much as there are four active off-sale licenses in close proximity to the immediate area and adding another license could contribute to alcohol related and other crimes. While crime has not increased in crime reporting district neither has it decreased substantially as it has city-wide. The City of Inglewood already has an undue concentration of alcohol outlets which has resulted in the City being put on a state moratorium list on issuance of any new off-sale beer and wine licenses because its ratio of off-sale license exceeds one license for each 2500 residents. Allowing one more license to the City at this location would have a negative effect on the general welfare of the neighboring properties also public testimony along with a signed petition opposing such license has been presented and attached to the concern of the additional license and for the denial of Categorical Exemption EA-CE-2013-40 and recommend denial to the City Council and was second by Chairman Springs that Resolution 1686;

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
OF THE CITY OF INGLEWOOD, CALIFORNIA,
DENYING A CERTAIN SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO
ALLOW THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-SITE
CONSUMPTION AT A SERVICE STATION CONVENIENCE**

**MARKET ON A C-2 (GENERAL COMMERCIAL) ZONED
PROPERTY AT 1430 NORTH LA BREA AVENUE.**

be approved.

The motion was carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Leevy, Trejo, Coleman and Chairman
Springs.

Noes: Commissioner Rice

Ms. Tatum explained the appeal procedure.

**(7b) GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT 2013-01 (GPA 2013-01)(DRAFT 2013-
2021 HOUSING ELEMENT):**

A public hearing to preliminarily consider General Plan
Amendment 2013-01 (GPA 2013-01), the Draft 2013-2021 Inglewood
Housing Element.

Ms. Linda Tatum, Acting Economic and Community Development
Director, made the staff presentation.

Chairman Springs asked the Planning Commission for questions to
staff.

Commissioner Coleman asked will any of the 3,000 homes that
Hollywood Park is going to build, will they satisfy any of the
necessities for the 2013-2021?

Ms. Tatum stated that the answer would be yes.

Commissioner Trejo asked about the goals that are in the draft
housing element book now will they be part of the actual housing
element that will go to the state?

Ms. Tatum stated yes it will.

Commissioner Trejo stated that she had a couple of more
questions regarding the goals, first goal number five, page
thirty-six and goal number six, immediately following.

Ms. Tatum stated that there are a number of ways; housing
department receives housing assistance for low to moderate
housing tenants.

Commissioner Trejo stated that she would like to see
specifically included in the housing element that any type of
assistance or supportive service be provided in Spanish and
English especially since Inglewood has a high almost 56 percent
population of Hispanics and many of whom do not have command of
the English language and the City needs to connect and reach out
to the Spanish community and feels that many times it is ignored
and sometimes it is because their community is unaware. As the
report states the Hispanic population is the fastest growing
ethnic group in Inglewood and the City is going to be facing
this for a number of years and such she would like to see
specifically included bilingual assistance.

Chairman Springs asked if this item was to be voted upon by the
Planning Commission?

Ms. Tatum stated that it is set as a public hearing for the Planning Commission to provide feedback to the staff and it would be brought back at a later date.

Attorney Lewis stated that since it is a public hearing, he asked if Chairman would open the item for the general public to comment, there was no one.

(8a) NON-PUBLIC HEARING - MSG FORUM PUBLIC ART PLAN, 3900 WEST MANCHESTER BOULEVARD:

A request to approve the Forum Public Art Plan for installation of onsite artwork related to the renovation of The Forum on C-R (Commercial and Recreation) zoned property located at 3900 W. Manchester Blvd.

Ms. Sabrina Barnes, Director of the Parks and Recreation and Library Services, made the staff presentation.

Commissioner Coleman asked about the lighting of the panels for the evenings, will they be powered by solar power or will they have to be plugged in for electrical current?

Ms. Barnes stated that at present they are not solar powered.

Commissioner Coleman also asked about any trash receptacles near where the people might be sitting to look at the art?

Ms. Barnes stated that definitely the area will be maintained and cared for. There is a full grounds maintenance crew along with the landscaping, twenty-four hour security, everything that will be needed in order for the upkeep for the total Public Art experience.

Commissioner Coleman also asked if the people of MSG Forum ever thought about putting in a Walk of Fame like they have in Hollywood and the fact that the Forum has had so many stars and living legends come through and have performed there that it would be a great draw to the property to have this type of attraction there.

Ms. Barnes stated that it could be something that might be looked at in the future but at this present point MSG Forum is going to stay with this current Public Art Plan, but your statement and idea will be noted.

Commissioner Leevy asked if there will be restricted hours at the site where the walking and jogging public will not have access to the area and the art.

Ms. Barnes relinquished the floor to the MSG Forum representative to answer the question from Commission Leevy.

Mr. Nick Spampanato, General Manager of the Public Art Plan for the MSG Forum. He stated that one of the commitments made was how important it was for the community to enjoy the property of the Forum and per the direction of the Mayor the lot could not be fenced and so as long as it can be operated safely for both the property and the community it will be open.

Commissioner Leevy mentioned about the sculpture seating area would be graffiti proofed and was wondering does that only apply with regards to markers or what about those who engrave into things.

Mr. Nick Spampanato, stated he would allow one of the art consultant to address this question, but with regards to the security and maintenance for the Public Art Plan project and the site as a whole, MSG Forum will do everything within their power to keep it looking its best.

Ms. Barbara Bolsen, Art Consultant for MSG Forum, stated that with regards to this project after speaking with the fabricators and asked about if someone would be able to carve into material and they stated that the concrete will break the knives before the knives could break the concrete.

Commissioner Trejo asked about the benches that will be used and has there been any consideration regarding the use of any deterrents to prohibit people who love to skateboard on benches?

Ms. Bolsen stated that way designated to prevent it will be the landscape material that will be around the bench area which will not allow for a smooth surface for the skateboarders.

Commissioner Trejo also asked about the interior parking lot and is the starkness meaning that there is nothing there but stripes for the cars to park there, but is it meant to be art work?

Ms. Bolsen stated that the plan for the parking lot was to obtain as many parking spaces as possible and with focusing on outer edges of the parking lot there will be an enhancement of those areas so it is necessary to make sure that a lot of cars can park within the area, while having landscaping and tree shading as much as possible.

Commissioner Rice asked about the pre-cast concrete seating and their weight and will they be mounted in order to make sure that several young people will not "relocate" them.

Ms. Bolsen stated that they will be 7lbs per cubic foot and the benches are at minimum of 250lbs and have the ability to bear over 3000lbs per cubic foot in terms of weight so there should be no problem anyone sitting on them or attempting to take them away.

Commissioner Rice stated that he was pleased that the MSG Forum is looking into having the Public Arts Plan where people can come to the area when there is no scheduled events at the Forum and enjoy the beauty of the Art and how the MSG Forum will be open to keeping a jogging/walking path for the community and was wondering if there was a possibility for the near future to have some form of exercise equipment in the parking lot area. He also stated that he wanted to thank MSG for taking on the task of improving and bringing back to life the Forum and it has already started to increase the City as a destination instead of a place to drive by, people now want to come to Inglewood and stop, shop and buy.

Mr. Nick Spampanato, General Manager of the Public Art Plan for the MSG Forum, stated that there were various thoughts and ideas as to what they felt best to have in the public areas and even though the idea of exercise areas would have been nice there was also the potential of someone running off with the equipment and hurting themselves or others and that was something that would not be in the best interest of the Forum at this point. He stated that with the Public Art Plan it seemed to be the best draw for the community where the area could be enjoyed while the

walking/jogging could continue with ease. He stated that MSG wanted the community to know that they are planning on being here for the long haul and have the best intentions for the area and that means being a good steward and neighbor so they are committed to being at the City Council meetings as well as the Planning Commission meetings to show they take pride in being here. He stated that his door is always open to ideas from Ms. Barnes and Ms. Tatum.

Chairman Springs asked with regards to the planters and will they be put into the ground or will they be placed in containers that sit above ground?

Ms. Barnes stated the planters will be planted into the ground but will be placed at existing foot level where some presently are and they will be enhanced where necessary.

Chairman Springs asked for a motion.

MOTION:

Commissioner Trejo made the motion to adopt the attached resolution approving the proposed on-site location of the artwork in relation to the entire development project and was second by Commissioner Coleman that Resolution No. 1687;

**A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF
THE CITY OF INGLEWOOD, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING
THE MSG FORUM PUBLIC ART PLAN FOR
INSTALLATION OF THE ONSITE ARTWORK RELATED
TO THE FORUM ON C-R (COMMERICAL AND
RECREATION) ZONED PROPERTY AT 3900 WEST
MANCHESTER.**

be approved.

The motion was carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Leevy, Rice, Trejo, Coleman and Chairman Springs

Noes: None

There was no appeal procedure.

(8b) NON-PUBLIC HEARING - FLORENCE/LA BREA TRANSIT ORIENTED DISTRICT REPORT:

A request to recommend that the City Council receive and file the summary report.

Ms. Linda Tatum, Acting Economic and Community Development Director, made the staff presentation.

Chairman Springs asked the Planning Commission for questions to staff.

Commissioner Rice asked about the maintenance of the areas where the rail tracks run from Florence Avenue and La Brea Boulevard all the way west to La Cienega Boulevard and Florence Avenue where there is shrubbery, trash and debris all over the rails as well as several homeless people and families who are camping along the rails corridor at this present moment and who will be

responsible for making sure that they do not get hurt in the wake of this new rail system.

Ms. Mawusi Watson, Executive Assistant to the City Manager stated that she will bring this to the attention of the rail representative Mr. Brian Pennington, who is the project manager with the MTA, since it is the MTA who is responsible for the maintenance of the railway area and let him know of its present condition and then will report back to the Planning Commission.

Chairman Springs asked for a motion.

MOTION:

Commissioner Coleman made the motion to receive and file the Florence/La Brea Transit-Oriented District Concept Plans and was second by Commissioner Rice.

THERE WAS NO RESOLUTION

The motion was carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Leevy, Rice, Trejo, Coleman and Chairman Springs

Noes: None

There was no appeal procedure.

**(8c) NON-PUBLIC HEARING - SPECIAL USE PERMIT NO. 1154 (SP-1154)
AT 901 MAPLE STREET AND 900 SOUTH GREVILLEA AVENUE:**

A request for a Planning Commission 18 month project status update of SP-1154 approved on March 7, 2012 to allow the addition of two new classrooms in existing Junior High School on C-2 (General Commercial) and P-1 (Automobile Parking) zoned property at 901 Maple Street and 900 South Grevillea Avenue.

Mr. Christopher Jackson, Sr., Senior Planner made the staff presentation.

Commissioner Trejo asked about the landscaping portion of this project and if it will be completed once all of the final signatures have been received and the six months duration has passed and if with the two new classrooms if some type of irrigation system was installed to assist with the watering and maintenance of the landscaping?

Mr. Jackson stated that regarding if the landscaping portion will be installed it was a condition and so for all of the signatures to be on the final report it should be plenty of time for the junior high school to have its landscaping in place. Regarding the irrigation system the school stated that it would not be cost effective and feasible for them to put into the parking lot any electronic type watering system, but that staff and maintenance ground crew would make sure that the landscaping stays hydrated and fresh.

Commissioner Coleman stated that he recalled at the past planning commission meeting about the school understanding that there should be no use of any Ficus trees.

Mr. Jackson stated that was correct and that school is not to use any type of Ficus trees.

Chairman Springs asked for a motion.

MOTION:

Commissioner Trejo made the motion to receive and file the 18 month project status update report of Special Use Permit No. 1154 (SP-1154) and will continue to review after six months from the final building permit sign-off and was second by Commissioner Coleman.

THERE WAS NO RESOLUTION

The motion was carried by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Commissioners Leevy, Trejo, Coleman and Chairman Springs

Noes: None

Abstained: Rice

There was no appeal procedure.

(9) PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were none.

(10) PLANNING COMMISSION INITIATIVES:

Commissioner Coleman:

- CVS at the Burlington Coat Factory site was littered with debris, in the parking lot area and near the front door along with there being a homeless individual who camps in the parking lot area.

Ms. Tatum stated that she will get in touch with the property maintenance manager at the site.

Commissioner Trejo:

- Corner of Rosewood Avenue and Century Boulevard, at the Dollar Tree store, they have a homeless individual who has moved from camping in front of the store doorway to the Rosewood Avenue side of the building full time. Is there a way that the individual can be assisted.

Ms. Tatum stated that she will be in contact with the police department as well as code enforcement to have them to check the site but stated that unless the individual is blocking the public right of way the homeless individual does have a right to be there.

- Noticed in the Planning Commissioners packets that the City Council had a public hearing of the denial of the special use permit request for the beauty salon at La Brea and asked what was the outcome of the meeting.

Ms. Tatum stated that the City Council overturned the Planning Commission's denial with the stipulation that any entertainment that would have to come before the Permits and Licensing division in order to obtain approval and that it could not be on-line entertainment but special event entertainment. She also stated that it was very clear that a beauty salon operation does not allow entertainment, but they

can like any business have periodic special events once it is approved by the P&L Division.

Chairman Springs:

- He stated that he hates sounding like a broken record, but the fact of the matter is the signal lights at Hyde Park and La Brea Avenues are not working properly. He stated that there is going to be a serious auto accident there real soon if someone does not come out to do the correct calibrations for all of the lights.

Ms. Tatum asked even after the representatives from Public Works have come out and supposedly addressed the issue.

- Across the street on the North-East corner of Hyde Park and La Brea is a Key Repair shop and people park on the La Brea side of the street, which causes the MTA Buses to have to double park in the street to load and debark their passengers, which places them into on-coming traffic and is a great factor for a major traffic collision. Possibly the curb could be painted for passenger bus loading and unloading only.

(11) ADJOURNMENT:

Chairman Springs declared that the meeting was adjourned at 9:10 p.m.

Evangeline Lane, Acting Secretary
City Planning Commission
Inglewood, California

Approved this 6th Day
of November, 2013

Chairman Larry Springs
City Planning Commission